

1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
2 JOSEPH E. FLOREN, State Bar No. 168292  
3 KIMBERLY A. KANE, State Bar No. 226896  
One Market Street, Spear Street Tower  
3 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
4 Tel: 415.442.1000  
Fax: 415.442.1001  
jfloren@morganlewis.com  
5 kkane@morganlewis.com

6 ROBERT E. GOODING, JR., State Bar No. 50617  
JENNIFER R. BAGOSY, State Bar No. 223145  
7 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750  
Irvine, CA 92614  
8 Tel: 949.399.7000  
Fax: 949.399.7001  
9 rgooding@morganlewis.com  
jbagosy@morganlewis.com

10 MARC J. SONNENFELD (*pro hac vice*)  
11 KAREN PIESLAK POHLMANN (*pro hac vice*)  
12 1701 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921  
Tel: 215.963.5740  
13 Fax: 215.963.5001  
msonnenfeld@morganlewis.com  
14 kpohlmann@morganlewis.com

15 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

17 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
18 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 IN RE HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
22 SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION,

Master File No. 12-cv-6003 CRB

**STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES TO  
STAY CASE THROUGH JULY 31,  
2013; ORDER**

Dept.: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor  
Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

24 This Document Relates to: All Actions

1           WHEREAS, on May 3, 2013, Plaintiff Stanley Morrical (“Plaintiff”) filed his  
 2 Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (“Complaint”) asserting claims on behalf of  
 3 Nominal Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”), arising from or relating to HP’s  
 4 acquisition in 2011 of Autonomy Corporation plc (“Autonomy”) and surrounding circumstances  
 5 (the “Derivative Complaint”);

6           WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court’s Order entered February 21, 2013 (Dkt. No. 61),  
 7 responses to the Complaint are currently due no earlier than July 2, 2013; briefs in opposition to  
 8 motions in response to the Complaint are due September 3, 2013; and reply briefs on such  
 9 motions are due October 3, 2013;

10          WHEREAS, on May 10, 2013, HP filed a Motion to Stay this action until the earlier of  
 11 (a) when the committee, formed by HP’s Board of Directors to investigate potential claims by the  
 12 Company, completes its investigation and makes a recommendation to the Board, and the Board  
 13 makes its decision whether to pursue this litigation; or (b) when the Court decides a motion to  
 14 dismiss in the related securities class action arising from the Autonomy acquisition entitled *In re*  
 15 *HP Securities Litigation*, No. 12-cv-5980 CRB; and in any event not later than (c) January 17,  
 16 2014 (the “Motion to Stay”) (Dkt. No. 76);

17          WHEREAS, Plaintiff’s opposition to HP’s Motion to Stay is due on May 24, 2013, and  
 18 the Motion is set for hearing on June 21, 2013; and

19          WHEREAS, counsel for HP and Plaintiff have met and conferred regarding the issues  
 20 presented by HP’s Motion to Stay, and have reached agreement that a temporary stay of this  
 21 action as provided herein is warranted based on the current facts and circumstances and  
 22 considerations of judicial economy and efficiency, with each party reserving all rights and  
 23 arguments, and without prejudice to any party seeking or opposing a further continuation of or  
 24 termination of the stay; and,

25          WHEREAS, the parties agree that nothing herein shall be deemed an acknowledgement or  
 26 concession by Plaintiff with respect to the arguments in HP’s Motion to Stay, or an  
 27 acknowledgement or concession by HP that the duration of the stay provided herein is or will be  
 28 sufficient,

1           NOW, THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED  
 2 AND AGREED by and among all parties who have appeared in this action, through their  
 3 undersigned counsel of record, as follows:

4           1.       This action is stayed in its entirety. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, this  
 5 action shall remain stayed until July 31, 2013.

6           2.       During the time when this action is stayed, no Defendant shall be required to file  
 7 any answer, motion, or other response to the Complaint. The schedule for responsive pleadings  
 8 and motions, as established in the Court's February 21, 2013 Order, shall be and is hereby  
 9 continued for the duration of the stay, such that (a) Defendants' answers, motions, or other papers  
 10 in response to the Complaint are due on or before September 10, 2013; (b) in the event  
 11 Defendants file any motions directed at the Complaint, Plaintiff's opposition briefs shall be filed  
 12 on or before November 12, 2013; and (c) reply briefs on such motions shall be on or before  
 13 December 12, 2013.

14           3.       HP's Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 76) is off calendar, without prejudice to HP  
 15 renewing that motion or otherwise seeking a further stay of the action.

16           4.       The stay shall not prevent any party from filing or opposing a motion or stipulation  
 17 seeking to terminate, continue, or extend the stay of the action. This stipulated stay shall not  
 18 prejudice any rights or future arguments of any party with respect to the need for or appropriate  
 19 duration of the stay of the action, all of which rights and arguments are expressly reserved.

20           **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

21           Dated: May 23, 2013

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

22           By /s/ Joseph E. Floren

23           Joseph E. Floren

24           Attorneys for Nominal Defendant  
 25           HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

I, Joseph E. Floren, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this  
 STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES TO STAY CASE THROUGH JULY 31, 2013;  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the  
 signatories below has concurred in this filing.

1 Dated: May 23, 2013

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP

2 By /s/ Mark C. Molumphy

3 Mark C. Molumphy  
4 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
5 STANLEY MORRICAL

6 Dated: May 23, 2013

COOLEY LLP

7 By /s/ John C. Dwyer

8 John C. Dwyer  
9 Attorneys for Defendant  
MARGARET C. WHITMAN

10 Dated: May 23, 2013

11 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW  
PITTMAN LLP / DEBEVOISE &  
PLIMPTON LLP

12 By /s/ Bruce A. Ericson

13 Bruce A. Ericson  
14 Colby A. Smith  
15 Bruce E. Yannett  
Attorneys for Defendant  
LEO APOTHEKER

16 Dated: May 23, 2013

17 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &  
FLOM LLP

18 By /s/ Timothy A. Miller

19 Timothy A. Miller  
20 Attorneys for Defendants  
RAYMOND J. LANE, SHUMEET  
BANERJI, RAJIV L. GUPTA, JOHN H.  
HAMMERGREN, MARC L.  
ANDREESSEN, PATRICIA F. RUSSO,  
ANN M. LIVERMORE, GARY M.  
REINER, G. KENNEDY THOMPSON,  
RALPH V. WHITWORTH, LAWRENCE  
T. BABBITO, JR., SARI M. BALDAUF,  
and DOMINIQUE SENEQUIER

21 Dated: May 23, 2013

22 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

23 By /s/ Daniel Bookin

24 Daniel Bookin  
25 Attorneys for Defendant  
SHANE ROBISON

1 Dated: May 23, 2013

SHERMAN & STERLING LLP

2 By /s/ Patrick D. Robbins

3 Patrick D. Robbins

4 Attorneys for Defendant

5 PERELLA WEINBERG PARTNERS LP

6 Dated: May 23, 2013

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

7 By /s/ Brendan P. Cullen

8 Brendan P. Cullen

9 Attorneys for Defendant

10 BARCLAYS CAPITAL

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1                   **[ORDER]**  
2  
3  
4

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing,

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: May 24, 2013

